UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/747,736	12/29/2003	Thomas Arnold Anschutz	9400-69 (030426)	6634
39072 7590 09/10/2007 MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC, P.A. P.O. BOX 37428			EXAMINER	
			VU, VIET DUY	
RALEIGH, NC 27627		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2154	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

mN

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/747,736	ANSCHUTZ, THOMAS ARNOLD			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Viet Vu	2154			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 De	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>29 December 2003</u> .				
	action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-63 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-63 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 29 December 2003 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (I Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	e			

Art Rejections:

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by $\underline{\text{Smith}}$, U.S. pat. No. 7,031,607.

Per claim 1-4, 6-14 and 19, <u>Smith</u> discloses a system and method for processing a multiprotocol label switching (MPLS) packet comprising:

a) receiving an MPLS packet having first header information comprising at least a first MPLS label at a first MPLS network node (see col 2, lines 11-26);

Application/Control Number: 10/747,736

Art Unit: 2154

b) operating a translation system (router) to obtain second header information comprising at least a second MPLS label (<u>see</u> col 2, lines 37-41);

c) routing the MPLS packet to a second MPLS network node based on the second header information, e.g., hop-by-hop routing (see col 2, lines 11-26).

Smith does not explicitly teach modifying the MPLS packet with the second header information. An official notice is taken that a MPLS router performs packet routing by replacing the fist label header with the second label header or pushing the second label header onto the first label header.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to recognize such label replacing and pushing operation in Smith because it would have enabled proper packet routing in the MPLS network.

Per claim 5, the use of DNS and/or APR database in data routing is well known in the art.

Per claims 15-21, <u>Smith</u> also teaches an alternative routing, explicitly routed LSP, that would allow better control of one or more routing criteria. Particularly, each router would obtain labels and routing instructions from an external system (network manager/operator) via a set up message. The router then

Art Unit: 2154

can used the obtained labels/instructions to route packets over specific paths (see col 2, lines 42-57).

Claims 22-63 are similar in scope as that of claims 1-21.

Conclusion:

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Viet Vu whose telephone number is 571-272-3977. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:00am to 4:00pm. The Group general information number is 571-272-2100. The Group fax number is 571-273-8300.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn, can be reached on 571-272-1915.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VIET D. VU

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Tun In

Art Unit 2154 8/31/07